

A HYPERPLANE INEQUALITY FOR THE SURFACE AREA OF PROJECTION BODIES

ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

ABSTRACT. We prove a hyperplane inequality for the surface area $S(L)$ of a projection body L in $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$S(L) \geq \frac{n}{n-1} c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(L|\xi^\perp) |K|^{1/n},$$

where $|L|$ is the volume of L , $\xi^\perp$ denotes the central hyperplane perpendicular to $\xi \in S^{n-1}$, $L|\xi^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection of L to $\xi^\perp$, and $c_n := |B_2^n|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} / |B_2^{n-1}| > 1/\sqrt{e}$. The inequality is sharp, with equality when $L = B_2^n$ is the Euclidean ball.

1. INTRODUCTION

A typical *volume comparison problem* asks whether inequalities

$$f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}$$

imply $|K| \leq |L|$ for any K, L from a certain class of origin-symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where f_K is a certain geometric characteristic of K . One can have in mind the example where $f_K(\xi) = |K \cap \xi^\perp|$ is the hyperplane section function.

In the case where the answer to a volume comparison problem is affirmative, one can also consider the following *separation* problem. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

Does there exist a constant c not dependent on ε, K, L and such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq |L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - c\varepsilon? \quad (2)$$

In the case where the answer is affirmative, assuming that

$$\varepsilon = \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} (f_L(\xi) - f_K(\xi)) > 0,$$

we get a *volume difference inequality*:

$$|L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \geq c\varepsilon = c \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} (f_L(\xi) - f_K(\xi)). \quad (3)$$

Again, if f_K converges to zero uniformly in ξ when K approaches the empty set, we get a hyperplane inequality:

$$|L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \geq c \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} f_L(\xi). \quad (4)$$

A separation for the hyperplane projection function

$$f_K(\xi) = P_K(\xi) = |K|\xi^\perp|, \quad \xi \in S^{n-1},$$

was proved in [K6]. Here $K|\xi^\perp|$ is the orthogonal projection of K to $\xi^\perp$. In Section 2 we reprove this result with the best possible constant. Note that the proof in [K6] essentially provided the best possible constant, but along the way the constant was estimated, so the final formulation was not optimal. Then we apply the corresponding volume difference inequality to prove a hyperplane inequality for the surface area. We prove that for any projection body K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ the surface area

$$S(K) \geq \frac{n}{n-1} c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(K|\xi^\perp) |K|^{1/n},$$

with $c_n := |B_2^n|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} / |B_2^{n-1}| > 1/\sqrt{e}$. The inequality is sharp, with equality when $K = B_2^n$ is the Euclidean ball.

2. MAIN RESULT

In this section we consider the hyperplane projection function

$$f_K(\xi) = |K|\xi^\perp|,$$

where $K|\xi^\perp|$ is the orthogonal projection of K to the hyperplane $\xi^\perp$. The corresponding volume comparison result is known as Shephard's problem, which was posed in 1964 in [Sh] and solved soon after that by Petty [Pe] and Schneider [S1], independently. Suppose that K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $|K|\xi^\perp| \leq |L|\xi^\perp|$ for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. Does it follow that $|K| \leq |L|$? The answer is affirmative only in dimension 2. Both solutions use the fact that the answer to Shephard's problem is affirmative in every dimension under the additional assumption that L is a projection body; see definition below.

The separation result for projections was proved in [K6]. However, the constant c_n was estimated from below by $1/\sqrt{e}$, so we now formulate the result with the best possible constant.

Theorem 1. ([K6]) *Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and L is a projection body. If for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$|K|\xi^\perp| \leq |L|\xi^\perp| - \varepsilon, \quad (5)$$

then

$$|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq |L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - c_n \varepsilon.$$

We need several more definitions and results from convex geometry. We refer the reader to [S2] and [KRZ] for details.

The *support function* of a convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$h_K(x) = \max_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\xi\|_K = 1\}} (x, \xi), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If K is origin-symmetric, then h_K is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The *surface area measure* $S(K, \cdot)$ of a convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as follows: for every Borel set $E \subset S^{n-1}$, $S(K, E)$ is equal to Lebesgue measure of the part of the boundary of K where normal vectors belong to E . We usually consider bodies with absolutely continuous surface area measures. A convex body K is said to have the *curvature function*

$$f_K : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

if its surface area measure $S(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure σ_{n-1} on S^{n-1} , and

$$\frac{dS(K, \cdot)}{d\sigma_{n-1}} = f_K \in L_1(S^{n-1}),$$

so f_K is the density of $S(K, \cdot)$.

By the approximation argument of [S2, Th. 3.3.1], we may assume in the formulation of Shephard's problem that the bodies K and L are such that their support functions h_K, h_L are infinitely smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Using [K4, Lemma 3.16] we get in this case that the Fourier transforms $\widehat{h_K}, \widehat{h_L}$ are the extensions of infinitely differentiable functions on the sphere to homogeneous distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of degree $-n-1$. Moreover, by a similar approximation argument (see also [GZ, Section 5]), we may assume that our bodies have absolutely continuous surface area measures. Therefore, in the rest of this section, K and L are convex symmetric bodies with infinitely smooth support functions and absolutely continuous surface area measures.

The following version of Parseval's formula was proved in [KRZ] (see also [K4, Lemma 8.8]):

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_K}(\xi) \widehat{f_L}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(x) f_L(x) dx. \quad (6)$$

The volume of a body can be expressed in terms of its support function and curvature function:

$$|K| = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(x) f_K(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

If K and L are two convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ the *mixed volume* $V_1(K, L)$ is equal to

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{|K + \varepsilon L| - |K|}{\varepsilon}.$$

We use the first Minkowski inequality (see [K4, p.23]): for any convex bodies K, L in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$V_1(K, L) \geq |K|^{(n-1)/n} |L|^{1/n}. \quad (8)$$

The mixed volume can be expressed in terms of the support and curvature functions:

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx. \quad (9)$$

Let K be an origin-symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The *projection body* ΠK of K is defined as an origin-symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose support function in every direction is equal to the volume of the hyperplane projection of K to this direction, i.e. for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h_{\Pi K}(\theta) = |K|_{\theta^\perp}. \quad (10)$$

If L is the projection body of some convex body, we simply say that L is a projection body. The Minkowski (vector) sum of projection bodies is also a projection body; see for example [G3, p. 149].

Proof of Theorem 1. It was proved in [KRZ] that

$$P_K(\xi) = |K|_{\xi^\perp} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f_K}(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}, \quad (11)$$

where f_K is extended from the sphere to a homogeneous function of degree $-n-1$ on the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the Fourier transform $\widehat{f_K}$ is the extension of a continuous function P_K on the sphere to a homogeneous of degree 1 function on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Therefore, the condition (5) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f_K}(\xi) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f_L}(\xi) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}. \quad (12)$$

It was also proved in [KRZ] that an infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex body L in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a projection body if and only if $\widehat{h_L} \leq 0$ on the sphere S^{n-1} . Integrating (12) with respect to a negative density we get

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) \widehat{f_L}(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) \widehat{f_K}(\xi) d\xi - \pi \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using this, (7) and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\pi)^n n|L| &= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_L(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) \widehat{f_L}(\xi) d\xi \\
&\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) \widehat{f_K}(\xi) d\xi - \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx - \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the first summand from below using the first Minkowski inequality:

$$(2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx \geq (2\pi)^n n (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} (\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \tag{14}$$

To estimate the second term in (13), note that, by (11), the Fourier transform of the curvature function of the Euclidean ball

$$\widehat{f_2}(\xi) = -\pi |B_2^{n-1}|, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}.$$

Therefore, by Parseval's formula, (9) and the first Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) d\xi &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{|B_2^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h_L}(\xi) \widehat{f_2}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= -\frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon}{|B_2^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_2(x) dx = -\frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon}{|B_2^{n-1}|} n V_1(B_2^n, L) \\
&\leq -\frac{(2\pi)^n n \varepsilon}{|B_2^{n-1}|} |L|^{\frac{1}{n}} |B_2^n|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} = -(2\pi)^n n \varepsilon c_n |L|^{\frac{1}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (13) and (14), we get the result. $\square$

As explained in the Introduction, the separation result of Theorem 1 leads to a volume difference inequality of the type (3).

Corollary 1. *If L is a projection body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and K is an arbitrary origin-symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that*

$$\min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} (|L|\xi^\perp| - |K|\xi^\perp|) > 0,$$

then

$$|L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \geq c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} (|L|\xi^\perp| - |K|\xi^\perp|). \tag{15}$$

Sending K to the empty set in (15), we get a hyperplane inequality of the type (4) which was earlier deduced directly from the affirmative part of Shephard's problem in [G3, Corollary 9.3.4]: if L is a projection body in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$|L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \geq c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |L|\xi^\perp|. \quad (16)$$

Recall that $c_n > 1/\sqrt{e}$. For general symmetric convex bodies, c_n can be replaced in (16) by $c_n/n^{(n-1)/2n}$ (see [G3, Remark 9.3.5]; note that with an extra factor $(3/2)^{(n-1)/n}$ in the left-hand side the estimate follows from a result of Ball [Ba2, p. 899]). Moreover, Ball [Ba2, Theorem 5] proved that the constant of the order $1/\sqrt{n}$ is optimal for general symmetric convex bodies, namely there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an origin-symmetric convex body L in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |L|\xi^\perp|.$$

The volume difference inequality (15) allows to prove a hyperplane inequality for the surface area of projection bodies.

Corollary 2. *Let L be a projection body in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then the surface area*

$$S(L) \geq \frac{n}{n-1} c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(L|\xi^\perp)|L|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Proof : The surface area of L can be computed as

$$S(L) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{|L + \varepsilon B_2^n| - |L|}{\varepsilon}.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ the Minkowski sum $L + \varepsilon B_2^n$ is also a projection body. The inequality (15) with the bodies $L + \varepsilon B_2^n$ and L in place of L and K implies

$$\frac{|L + \varepsilon B_2^n|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - |L|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{\varepsilon} \geq c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{|(L|\xi^\perp) + \varepsilon B_2^{n-1}| - |L|\xi^\perp|}{\varepsilon}.$$

By the Minkowski theorem on mixed volumes ([S2, Theorem 5.1.6] or [G3, Theorem A.3.1]),

$$\frac{|(L|\xi^\perp) + \varepsilon B_2^{n-1}| - |L|\xi^\perp|}{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} W_i(L|\xi^\perp) \varepsilon^{i-1}, \quad (17)$$

where W_i are quermassintegrals. The function $\xi \mapsto L|\xi^\perp|$ is continuous from S^{n-1} to the class of origin-symmetric convex sets equipped with the Hausdorff metric, and W_i 's are also continuous with respect to this metric (see [S2, p. 275]), so the functions $\xi \mapsto W_i(L|\xi^\perp)$ are continuous

and, hence, bounded on the sphere. This implies that the left-hand side of (17) converges to $S(L|\xi^\perp)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to ξ . The latter allows to switch the limit and maximum in the right-hand side of (??), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Sending ε to zero in (??), we get

$$\frac{n-1}{n}|L|^{-1/n}S(L) \geq c_n \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(L|\xi^\perp).$$

□

Acknowledgement. This work was supported in part by the US National Science Foundation, grant DMS-1001234.

REFERENCES

- [Ba1] K. Ball, *Some remarks on the geometry of convex sets*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), Lecture Notes in Math. **1317**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988, 224–231.
- [Ba2] K. Ball, *Shadows of convex bodies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), 891–901.
- [Ba3] K. BALL, *Logarithmically concave functions and sections of convex sets in R^n* , Studia Math. **88** (1988), 69–84.
- [Bo1] J. Bourgain, *On high-dimensional maximal functions associated to convex bodies*, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), 1467–1476.
- [Bo2] J. Bourgain, *Geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 871–878.
- [Bo3] J. Bourgain, *On the distribution of polynomials on high-dimensional convex sets*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Israel seminar (1989/90), Lecture Notes in Math. **1469** Springer, Berlin, 1991, 127–137.
- [Bo4] J. Bourgain, *On the Busemann-Petty problem for perturbations of the ball*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **1** (1991), 1–13.
- [BP] H. Busemann and C. M. Petty, *Problems on convex bodies*, Math. Scand. **4** (1956), 88–94.
- [DP] N. Dafnis and G. Paouris, *Small ball probability estimates, ψ_2 -behavior and the hyperplane conjecture*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 1933–1964.
- [EK] R. Eldan and B. Klartag, *Approximately gaussian marginals and the hyperplane conjecture*, preprint, arXiv:1001.0875.
- [G1] R. J. Gardner, *Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), 435–445.
- [G2] R. J. Gardner, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions*, Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 435–447.
- [G3] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [GKS] R. J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky and Th. Schlumprecht, *An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Annals of Math. **149** (1999), 691–703.
- [GS] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions, vol. 1. Properties and operations*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

- [Gi] A. Giannopoulos, *A note on a problem of H. Busemann and C. M. Petty concerning sections of symmetric convex bodies*, Mathematika **37** (1990), 239–244.
- [GLW] P. Goodey, E. Lutwak, and W. Weil, *Functional analytic characterization of classes of convex bodies*, Math. Z. **222** (1996), 363–381.
- [GZ] E. Grinberg and Gaoyong Zhang, *Convolutions, transforms, and convex bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999), 77–115.
- [Kl] B. Klartag, *On convex perturbations with a bounded isotropic constant*, Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA) **16** (2006), 1274–1290.
- [K1] A. Koldobsky, *An application of the Fourier transform to sections of star bodies*, Israel J. Math. **106** (1998), 157–164.
- [K2] A. Koldobsky, *Intersection bodies, positive definite distributions and the Busemann-Petty problem*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 827–840.
- [K3] A. Koldobsky, *Intersection bodies in $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Adv. Math. **136** (1998), 1–14.
- [K4] A. Koldobsky, *Fourier analysis in convex geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2005.
- [K5] A. Koldobsky, *A generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **110** (1999), 75–91.
- [K6] A. Koldobsky, *Stability in the Busemann-Petty and Shephard problems*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), 2145–2161.
- [K7] A. Koldobsky, *Stability of volume comparison for complex convex bodies*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **97** (2011), 91–98.
- [K8] A. Koldobsky, *A hyperplane inequality for measures of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \leq 4$* , Discrete Comput. Geom. **47** (2012), 538–547.
- [KM] A. Koldobsky and Dan Ma, *Stability and slicing inequalities for intersection bodies*, arXiv:1108.2631.
- [KPZ] A. Koldobsky, G. Paouris and M. Zymonopoulou, *Complex intersection bodies*, arXiv:1201.0437.
- [KL] A. Koldobsky and M. Lifshits, *Average volume of sections of star bodies*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, 119–146, Lecture Notes in Math., 1745, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [KRZ] A. Koldobsky, D. Ryabogin and A. Zvavitch, *Projections of convex bodies and the Fourier transform*, Israel J. Math. **139** (2004), 361–380.
- [KY] A. Koldobsky and V. Yaskin, *The interface between convex geometry and harmonic analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 108, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [LR] D. G. Larman and C. A. Rogers, *The existence of a centrally symmetric convex body with central sections that are unexpectedly small*, Mathematika **22** (1975), 164–175.
- [L] E. Lutwak, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **71** (1988), 232–261.
- [MP] V. MILMAN AND A. PAJOR, *Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n -dimensional space*, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, ed. by J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1376**, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989, pp. 64–104.
- [Pa] M. Papadimitrakis, *On the Busemann-Petty problem about convex, centrally symmetric bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Mathematika **39** (1992), 258–266.

- [Pe] C. M. Petty, *Projection bodies*, Proc. Coll. Convexity (Copenhagen 1965), Kobenhavns Univ. Mat. Inst., 234-241.
- [S1] R. Schneider, *Zu einem problem von Shephard über die projektionen konvexer Körper*, Math. Z. **101** (1967), 71-82.
- [S2] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Sh] G. C. Shephard, *Shadow systems of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 229-306.
- [Z1] Gaoyong Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), 777-801.
- [Z2] Gaoyong Zhang, *Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 331-346.
- [Z3] Gaoyong Zhang, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions*, Annals of Math. **149** (1999), 535-543.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO
65211

E-mail address: koldobskiya@missouri.edu